

# Transpositions and Adjunctions

Ross Tate

April 5, 2018

**Definition** (Transposition). Given a pair of categories and pair of functors as in  $\mathbf{C} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{L} \\ \xleftarrow{R} \end{array} \mathbf{D}$ , a transposition between  $L$  and  $R$

- assigns to each  $L$ -costructured morphism  $g : LC \rightarrow D$  an  $R$ -structured morphism  $g^\rightarrow : C \rightarrow RD$
- and assigns to each  $R$ -structured morphism  $f : C \rightarrow RD$  an  $L$ -costructured morphism  $f^\leftarrow : LC \rightarrow D$
- such that the assignments are bijective, meaning  $\forall g : LC \rightarrow D. (g^\rightarrow)^\leftarrow = g$  and  $\forall f : C \rightarrow RD. (f^\leftarrow)^\rightarrow = f$ ,
- and natural, meaning  $\forall f' : C \rightarrow C', g' : D \rightarrow D'$  we have  $\forall g : LC' \rightarrow D. (Lf' ; g ; g')^\rightarrow = f' ; g^\rightarrow ; Rg'$  and  $\forall f : C' \rightarrow RD. (f' ; f ; Rg')^\leftarrow = Lf' ; f^\leftarrow ; g'$ .

**Example.** Given a subcategory  $\mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{I} \mathbf{B}$  and a reflector  $R : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  with reflection arrows  $\{r_B : B \rightarrow IRB\}_{B \in \mathbf{B}}$ , we can build a transposition  $R \dashv I$ . For a  $\mathbf{B}$ -morphism  $f : B \rightarrow IA$ , define  $f^\leftarrow : RB \rightarrow A$  as the  $\mathbf{A}$ -morphism that is uniquely induced by the reflection arrow  $r_B$ . For a  $\mathbf{A}$ -morphism  $g : RB \rightarrow A$ , define  $g^\rightarrow : B \rightarrow IA$  as  $r_B ; Ig$ .

**Example.** Given a category  $\mathbf{C}$  and a set  $I$ , we can define the category  $\mathbf{C}^I$  whose objects are  $I$ -indexed tuples of  $\mathbf{C}$  objects and whose morphisms are  $I$ -indexed tuples of  $\mathbf{C}$  morphisms, with the remaining structure defined in the obvious way. We can also define a functor  $\Delta_I : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^I$  that maps each object/morphism of  $\mathbf{C}$  to the  $I$ -tuple simply comprised of  $I$  copies of the object/morphism. And if  $\mathbf{C}$  has  $I$ -indexed products, we can define a functor  $\prod_I : \mathbf{C}^I \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  mapping each  $I$ -indexed tuple of  $\mathbf{C}$  objects to their product and each  $I$ -indexed tuple of morphisms to the corresponding uniquely induced morphism between those products. These functors extend to a transposition  $\Delta_I \dashv \prod_I$ . To see why, note that an  $I$ -indexed source with domain  $C$  corresponds to an  $I$ -indexed tuple of morphisms with domain  $\Delta_I(C)$ . Consequently, for an  $I$ -indexed tuple of morphisms with domain  $\Delta_I(C)$ , i.e. a source  $\{C \xrightarrow{g_i} C_i\}_{i \in I}$ , define  $(\{C \xrightarrow{g_i} C_i\}_{i \in I})^\rightarrow : C \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} C_i$  as  $\langle g_i \rangle_{i \in I}$ . And for a  $\mathbf{C}$ -morphism  $f : C \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} C_i$ , define  $f^\leftarrow : \Delta_I(C) \rightarrow \{C_i\}_{i \in I}$  as  $\{C \xrightarrow{f_i ; \pi_i} C_i\}_{i \in I}$ .

**Example.** If a category  $\mathbf{C}$  has  $I$ -indexed coproducts, we can define a functor  $\coprod_I : \mathbf{C}^I \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  mapping each  $I$ -indexed tuple of  $\mathbf{C}$  objects to their coproduct and each  $I$ -indexed tuple of morphisms to the corresponding uniquely induced morphism between those coproducts. These functors extend to a transposition  $\coprod_I \dashv \Delta_I$ . To see why, note that an  $I$ -indexed sink with codomain  $C$  corresponds to an  $I$ -indexed tuple of morphisms with codomain  $\Delta_I(C)$ . Consequently, for an  $I$ -indexed tuple of morphisms with codomain  $\Delta_I(C)$ , i.e. a sink  $\{C_i \xrightarrow{f_i} C\}_{i \in I}$ , define  $(\{C_i \xrightarrow{f_i} C\}_{i \in I})^\leftarrow : \prod_{i \in I} C_i \rightarrow C$  as  $[f_i]_{i \in I}$ . And for a  $g : \prod_{i \in I} C_i \rightarrow C$ , define  $g^\rightarrow : \{C_i\}_{i \in I} \rightarrow \Delta_I(C)$  as  $\{C_i \xrightarrow{\kappa_i ; g} C\}_{i \in I}$ .

**Example.** Given a concrete category  $\mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{U} \mathbf{X}$  and a free-object functor  $F : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  with universal structured arrows  $\{\eta_X : X \rightarrow UFX\}_{X \in \mathbf{X}}$ , we can build a transposition  $F \dashv U$ . For a  $\mathbf{X}$ -morphism  $f : X \rightarrow UA$ , define  $f^\leftarrow : FB \rightarrow A$  as the  $\mathbf{A}$ -morphism that is uniquely induced by the universal structured arrow  $\eta_X$ . For a  $\mathbf{A}$ -morphism  $g : FX \rightarrow A$ , define  $g^\rightarrow : X \rightarrow UA$  as  $\eta_X ; Ug$ .

**Definition (Adjunction).** Given a 2-category, an adjunction  $\langle \eta, \varepsilon \rangle : \ell \dashv r : D \rightarrow C$  (where the order of  $D$  and  $C$  here is not a typo) is comprised of

- 0-cells  $C$  and  $D$ ,
- 1-cells  $\ell : C \rightarrow D$  (called the left adjoint) and  $r : D \rightarrow C$  (called the right adjoint), and
- 2-cells  $\eta : C \Rightarrow \ell ; r : C \rightarrow C$  (called the unit) and  $\varepsilon : r ; \ell \Rightarrow D : D \rightarrow D$  (called the counit)
- such that both of the following compositions equal their respective identity 2-cell:



**Example.** Suppose we have a transposition between functors  $L : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$  and  $R : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ . Then we build an adjunction  $\langle \eta, \varepsilon \rangle : L \dashv R : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  by defining the natural transformation  $\eta_C : C \rightarrow RLC$  as  $(id_{LC})^\rceil$  and the natural transformation  $\varepsilon_D : LRD \rightarrow D$  as  $(id_{RD})^\lrcorner$ .

**Example.** Suppose we have an adjunction  $\langle \eta, \varepsilon \rangle : L \dashv R : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  in **Cat**. Then we can build a transposition by defining  $g^\rceil$  for  $g : LC \rightarrow D$  as  $\varepsilon_C ; Rg$  and defining  $f^\lrcorner$  for  $f : C \rightarrow RD$  as  $Lf ; \eta_D$ .

**Example.** In adjunction in **Prost** is also known as a (monotone) Galois connection. A (monotone) Galois connection between two preordered sets  $\langle A, \leq \rangle$  and  $\langle B, \leq \rangle$  is a pair of relation-preserving functions  $F : \langle A, \leq \rangle \rightarrow \langle B, \leq \rangle$  and  $G : \langle B, \leq \rangle \rightarrow \langle A, \leq \rangle$  such that  $\forall a \in A, b \in B. F(a) \leq b \iff a \leq G(b)$ .

**Definition (Equivalence).** An equivalence is an adjunction in which  $\eta$  and  $\varepsilon$  are both 2-isomorphisms. Two objects of a 2-category are said to be equivalent if there exists an equivalence between them. In particular, two categories are said to be equivalent if there exists an equivalence between them in **Cat**.

**Example.** Assuming the axiom of choice, every preorder  $\langle X, \leq \rangle$  is equivalent in **Prost** to its antisymmetric quotient  $\langle X/\approx, \leq \rangle$ .

**Example.** The category of finite vector spaces and linear maps is equivalent to **Mat**.